

## Using Topical Module Results to Advance Institution Goals

*Institutions participating in NSSE have provided hundreds of examples demonstrating wide-ranging uses of NSSE data. Diagnostic, actionable information on student engagement catalyzes vital, sometimes challenging conversations on campus about the quality of undergraduate education. This brief focuses on one theme among these examples.*

Since the introduction of NSSE's Topical Modules in 2013, 1,165 institutions have administered at least one module with the core survey. The nine modules currently offered give institutions more detailed information on Academic Advising, Civic Engagement, Development of Transferable Skills, Experiences with Diverse Perspectives, Experiences with Information Literacy, Experiences with Writing, First-Year Experiences and Senior Transitions, Global Learning, and Learning with Technology. This brief highlights institutions that have used module results to gain insight into progress toward specific goals and to justify curricular changes. Visit our website to learn more about NSSE's Topical Modules.

[nsse.indiana.edu/html/modules.cfm](https://nsse.indiana.edu/html/modules.cfm)

### EXPERIENCES WITH WRITING

The focus on writing in **Harvey Mudd College's** 2010 core curriculum revision, based in part on the observation that students reached capstone without advanced writing skills, led to the requirement for first-year students to take Introduction to Academic Writing (Writ 1), a half-semester course taught by faculty from all disciplines. NSSE and FSSE results—in particular, responses to the Experiences with Writing Topical Module—have been important in assessing the course's impact and in identifying approaches for improvement.

Prior to teaching Writ 1, faculty attend an intensive one-week workshop on current composition theory and pedagogy and on lessons learned the previous semester. At the 2015 Writ 1 workshop, looking at patterns in NSSE and FSSE results for where to expand effective practices, faculty discussed strategies to encourage reflection and to clarify and foster the application of Writ 1 skills across disciplines.

As Writ 1 approached its fifth year, both NSSE and FSSE results suggested Harvey Mudd's students and faculty compared favorably to those of its Carnegie peer group. Additionally, since the implementation of Writ 1, survey responses from first-year students and seniors have indicated that most writing assignments asked students to use evidence



Harvey Mudd College

and reasoning to argue a position, to explain the meaning of numerical and statistical data, and to write in the style and format of a specific field—all outcomes stressed in Writ 1.

Going forward, by disaggregating NSSE results, the college seeks to better understand how students access resources and how faculty meet the needs of a diverse student body. These results will help bring the benefits of Writ 1 to all students—by defining expectations, addressing learning preferences, and uncovering underlying assumptions.

### WHAT IS YOUR NSSE DATA USE STORY?

Our growing collection of stories about how NSSE institutions use their results is a shared resource for colleges and universities, and assists in our continuing efforts to improve the quality of the undergraduate experience. Please contact your NSSE Project Services team to share examples highlighting your institution's uses of NSSE data, usage strategies, and special activities.

[nsse.indiana.edu/html/staff.cfm](https://nsse.indiana.edu/html/staff.cfm)

